

Date: Sat, 2 Oct 93 04:30:15 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #359
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Sat, 2 Oct 93 Volume 93 : Issue 359

Today's Topics:

The Canadian Perspective Returns

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Fri, 1 Oct 1993 00:07:52 GMT
From: news.Hawaii.Edu!uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu!jherman@ames.arpa
Subject: The Canadian Perspective Returns
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <1993Sep30.170201.27381@ke4zv.atl.ga.us> gary@ke4zv.UUCP (Gary Coffman) writes:

• • •

```
> On VHF/UHF
>we hear stories of jamming of repeaters and foul language as well,
>some of which may be done by newly minted amateurs who have not been
>formally tested for Morse skills, but mostly done by Morse tested
>amateurs of the same kind who infest HF. In the areas where this
```

Trying to pass opinion as fact again? And your rebuttal: "On MY repeater, we DF every jammer and almost always it's a Morse tested amateur <blah, blah, blah>..."

Jeff NH6IL (once upon a time: WA6QIJ)

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #359
